

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

45

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,684	08/19/2003	Shinnosuke Nakahara	P24077	2735
7055 75	90 05/04/2006		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD	
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3738	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(42

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/642,684	NAKAHARA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bruce E. Snow	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 February 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4 and 6</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	eate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	The state of the s			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendments and arguments filed February 22, 2006 have been fully considered. Regarding the "Allowable Subject Matter" section, claims 3, 4, and 6 are allowed and were not rejected under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112.

Applicant's amendment overcame the rejections under first and second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, regarding the language "withdrawal prevention portions... being formed along with a plurality of cutting lines".

Regarding the rejections in view of Crozet et al and McGahan et al, the Examiner's position is believed to be clearly stated in the grounds of rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 3, claims "a pair of side surfaces"; line 7 claims "all side surfaces". It is unclear if "all side surfaces" only refers to said "pair of side surfaces" or all the inherent side surfaces of the main body. Additionally, it is unclear if "side surfaces" includes all side surfaces such as the front and back side surfaces or just the medial and lateral side surfaces.

Art Unit: 3738

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-4 and 6 are allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Crozet et al (6,527,803).

Referring to 2, Crozet et al teaches an intervertebral cage inserted between vertebrae of a spine comprising:

a main body defined by a pair of upper and lower surfaces 6 and a pair of side surfaces connected thereto. The limitation "side surfaces" is not limited to only the medial or lateral side surfaces and do not have to be planar. The following are interpreted as "side surfaces": (1) a side is interpreted as the surface wherein duct 14 is formed, (2) the curved side opposed to side one, (3 and 4) the opposing side surfaces made by recesses 10 which are generally noted by the reference number 10 in figure 2. The main body including withdrawal prevention portions (pins 26 and the saw teeth) formed on the upper and/or the lower surfaces of the main body and regulate an insertion direction of the intervertebral cage; and wherein the withdrawal prevention means are provided with a plurality of parallel cutting lines slanting at a predetermined angle with respect to all side surfaces (interpreted as only a pair of side surfaces selected from the noted sides 1-4 above) of the main body.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McGahan et al (2003/0130737).

Referring to at least Figure 16, McGahan et al teaches an intervertebral cage inserted between vertebrae of a spine comprising:

Art Unit: 3738

a main body defined by a pair of upper and lower surfaces and a pair of side surfaces (any two of the following: 208, 206, 222, 218, 215, 214) connected thereto; and withdrawal prevention portions formed on the upper and lower surfaces of the main body, and being formed along with a plurality of cutting lines slanting at a

wherein the withdrawal prevention portions regulate an insertion direction of the intervertebral cage.

predetermined angle with respect to all of the side surfaces (interpreted as said pair of

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being <u>clearly anticipated</u> by Gerber et al (2004/0172133).

See at least figure 4.

side surfaces) of the main body,

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3738

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce E. Snow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bes

BRUCE SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER